UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/IONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,124	07/10/2001	William D. Meadow	2222.4960001	7209
26111 7590 11/26/2008 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, NGA B	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Occurrence	09/901,124	MEADOW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nga B. Nguyen	3692				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timus will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Au	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 August 2008</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 6-8 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 09/901,124 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the answer to the Amendment filed on August 7, 2008, which paper has been placed of record in the file.

2. Claims 1-14 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments/Amendment

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,124 Page 3

Art Unit: 3692

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious

at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention

was made.

6. Claims 3-5 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Hayosh, U.S. Patent No. 6,611,598.

Regarding to claims 3-5 and 9-14, Hayosh discloses a check verification system

comprising:

a receiver, wherein the receiver is adapted to receive checks based on information

provided thereto, the information including a MICR line that includes an ABA number of

a bank and a customer account number... (column 2, lines 18-65, laser printer);

a check printer, wherein the check printer is adapted to print the information on the

MICR line provided to the check verifier by an entity desiring authentication of a check

presented for payment, along with the key provided to the check verifier... (column 14,

lines 5-10, verification reader).

a check verifier adapted to verify checks...

a receiver configured to receive information...

a check printer configured to access...

Examiner submits that the claimed invention recites <u>an intended use</u>, although Hayosh fails to discuss the intended use which is to receive and print the hash value containing in the MICR line of a check, Hayosh's computer system is capable of printing and verifying the hash value containing in the MICR line of a check. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hayosh's to include the feature above for the purpose of enhancing the security in processing a check.

"The recitation of a new intended use for an old product does not make a claim to that old product patentable." *In re Schreiber*, 44 USPQ2d 1429 (Fed. Cir. 1997). *Examiner Note:* To avoid the intended use in the claim language, the claims should be amended to delete the phrases "adapted to" and "configured to", for example: a receiver *receiving* checks..., a check printer *printing* the information, a check verifier *verifying* checks, etc...

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1, 2 and 6-8 are allowed over the prior art of cited records.

Conclusion

8. Claims 1, 2 and 6-8 are allowed.

Claims 3-5 and 9-14 are rejected.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached on (571) 272-6702.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communication intended for entry),

or

(571) 273-6796 (for informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,124 Page 6

Art Unit: 3692

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nga B. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692

November 20, 2008